10 243 (Rev. 5/85)

MOTION UNDER 28 USC § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR QRILT 759; SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY CIVILIBLE VI

United States Bistrict Court	District	DICTION OF THE PARTY
Name of Movant	SOUTHERN Prisoner No.	DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
JOSEPH FELZER	55636-004	Case No. 00-6323-HURLEY 00-6333-HURLEY
Place of Confinement	330,000	
FEDERAL DETENTION CENTE	R P.O.BOX 019120) MIAMI, FL 33101-9120
		MAGISTRATE JUDGE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V	. JOSEPH	J. FELZER
		inder which convicted)
		·
MOT	ION .	MIGHT DOY
1. Name and location of court which entered the judgment of	anninias undan attack	NIGHT BOX
i. Ivanic and location of court which entered the judgment of	CONVICTION UNDER RUBCK	
District Court 701 Clematis Street West	Palm Beach, Florid	la 33401
4 17 2001		OCT 1 2 2001
2. Date of judgment of conviction August 17,2001		
3. Length of sentence 77 Months	,	CLERK, USDC / SDFL / W/DE
Bank Robbe	erv (3 counts) 2	1 U.S.C. § 2113 (a)
4. Nature of offense involved (all counts) Bank Robbe		
	<i>(</i> 4)	
5. What was your plea? (Check one)		
(a) Not guilty		
(b) Guilty 🖼 -		
(c) Nolo contendere		
If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a	not guilty plea to another	count or indictment, give details:
Plead guilty to all three counts.		
	_ 	
	, , ,	
6. If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you have?	(Check one)	
(a) Jury (b) Judge only (c)	\sim	15-
(b) Judge only	cat/div	1776
7. Did you testify at the trial?	Case # OC	-7595
Yes □ No □	Judge <u>UTK F</u>	Mag CHS
	Moth Ifp No	> Fee pd \$ <u>N/4</u>
8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?	Receipt #	/_
Yes D No 10		P_1

9.	If you	did appeal, answer the following: N/A
	(a) Na	ame of court
	(b) Re	sult
	(c) Da	ate of result
10.		than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications, or is with respect to this judgment in any federal court? No 🔞
11.	If you	answer to 10 was "yes," give the following information: N/A
	(a) (1	Name of court
	(2	Nature of proceeding
	(3)	Grounds raised
	(4)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? N/A Yes No No
	(5)	Result
	(6)	Date of result
	(b) As	to any second petition, application or motion give the same information: N/A
	(1)	Name of court
	(2)	Nature of proceeding
	(3)	Grounds raised

	(4)	Did you receive an evid Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \subseteq	entiary hearing on yo	ur petition, app	olication or motion?	N/A	
	(5)	Result					
	(6)	Date of result					
(c)	or i	I you appeal, to an appellantion? N/A First petition, etc. Second petition, etc.	Yes 🗌	ng jurisdiction. t No□ No□	he result of action t	aken on any petition	, application
(d		ou did not appeal from the			plication or motion,	explain briefly why	you did not:
,	, ,	1					
							
				·····			
Uı	nited (ncisely every ground on whe States. Summarize briefly the supporting the same.	nich you claim that you ne facts supporting each	are being held n ground. If nece	in violation of the coessary, you may attack	onstitution, laws or tr h pages stating addition	eaties of the onal grounds
Ca	ution	: If you fail to set for at a later date.	th all grounds in thi	s motion, you	may be barred fror	n presenting additio	nal grounds
otl	iteme her th	your information, the foll nt preceded by a letter con an those listed. However, y ir allegations that you are	stitutes a separate growous should raise in this	und for possible motion all avail	relief. You may raise	any grounds which y	ou may have
mo		not check any of these liste will be returned to you if y					ge facts. The
(a)		viction obtained by plea ourse of the charge and the			or not made volunta	urily or with understa	nding of the
(b)	Con	viction obtained by use of	coerced confession.				

- (c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure.
- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest.
- (e) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
- (g) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impanelled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.
- (j) Denial of right of appeal.

COUNSEL WHEN COUNSEL FAILED TO RAISE COMPETENCY ISSUE FOR APPELLANT Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law). THE APPELLANT ASSERTS THAT COUNSEL FAILED TO RAISE THE ISSUE OF THE APPELLANT'S COMPETENCY EVEN AFTER BEING INFORMED THAT THE APPELLANT HAD SUFFERED FROM MENTAL DISABILITIES IN THE PAST. APPELLANT RAISED ISSUE WITH COUNSEL PRIOR TO HIS BEING SENTENCED, AND COUNSEL STATED, "THAT HE DOESN'T WANT TO WASTE HIS TIME". APPELLANT ASSERTS THAT THIS DENIED HIM EFFECTIVE ASSISTATED TO THE PRIOR T	Ground one:	WHETHER THE APPELLANT RECEIVED ADEQUATE ASSISTANCE OF
COUNSEL FAILED TO RAISE THE ISSUE OF THE APPELLANT'S COMPETENCY EVEN AFTER BEING INFORMED THAT THE APPELLANT HAD SUFFERED FROM MENTAL DISABILITIES IN THE PAST. APPELLANT RAISED ISUE WITH COUNSEL PRIOR TO HIS BEING SENTENCED, AND COUNSEL STATED, "THAT HE DOESN"T WANT TO WASTE HIS TIME". APPELLANT ASSERTS: THAT THIS DENIED HIM EFFECTIVE ASSISTATED ASSISTATED. Ground two: Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law):	COUNSEL V	HEN COUNSEL FAILED TO RAISE COMPETENCY ISSUE FOR APPELLANT
AFTER BEING INFORMED THAT THE APPELLANT HAD SUFFERED FROM MENTAL DISABILITIES IN THE PAST. APPELLANT RAISED ISUE WITH COUNSEL PRIOR TO HIS BEING SENTENCED, AND COUNSEL STATED, "THAT HE DOESN"T WANT TO WASTE HIS TIME". APPELLANT ASSERTS: THAT THIS DENIED HIM EFFECTIVE ASSISTA Ground two: Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Ground three:	Supporting F	ACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law) THE APPELLANT ASSERTS THAT
DISABILITIES IN THE PAST. APPELLANT RAISED ISUE WITH COUNSEL PRIOR TO HIS BEING SENTENCED, AND COUNSEL STATED, "THAT HE DOESN"T WANT TO WASTE HIS TIME". APPELLANT ASSERTS: THAT THIS DENIED HIM EFFECTIVE ASSISTA Ground two: Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Ground three:	COUNSEL 1	AILED TO RAISE THE ISSUE OF THE APPELLANT'S COMPETENCY EVEN
HIS BEING SENTENCED, AND COUNSEL STATED, "THAT HE DOESN"T WANT TO WASTE HIS TIME". APPELLANT ASSERTS THAT THIS DENIED HIM EFFECTIVE ASSISTA Ground two: Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Ground three:	AFTER BE	NG INFORMED THAT THE APPELLANT HAD SUFFERED FROM MENTAL
WASTE HIS TIME", APPELLANT ASSERTS: THAT THIS DENIED HIM EFFECTIVE ASSISTATE Ground two: Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Ground three:	DISABILIT	IES IN THE PAST. APPELLANT RAISED ISUE WITH COUNSEL PRIOR TO
Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Ground three:	HIS BEING	SENTENCED, AND COUNSEL STATED, " THAT HE DOESN"T WANT TO
Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Ground three:	WASTE HIS	TIME". APPELLANT ASSERTS THAT THIS DENIED HIM EFFECTIVE ASSIST
Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Ground three:	Ground two:	
Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Ground three:		
Ground three:		
Ground three:		
Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law):	Ground three	
	Supporting F	

ATTACHMENTS TO GROUNDS

GROUND ONE:

COUNSEL THEN AVOIDED THE APPELLANT AND DIDN'T RESPOND TO APPELLANT'S CALLS AND AFTER FINALLY GETTING LETTER, COUNSEL THEN RESPONDS, BUT BY NOW IT'S ONE_WEEK AWAY FROM THE APPELLANT IS DUE TO BE SENTENCED. APPELLANT RAISED THE ISSUE SEVERAL TIMES AND COUNSEL FAILED TO PROPERLY EVALUATE WHETHER OR NOT APPELLANT HAS A MENTAL DISORDER.

FURTHER, THIS IS EVEN IN THE APPELLANT'S P.S.I. REPORT (SEE PAGE 21 @ 83-84), WHERE IT STATES THAT THE APPELLANT DOES HAVE A HISTORY OF DEPRESSION AND "PSYCHOTIC FEATURES", THEREFORE, COUNSEL KNEW OF THIS ISSUE AND WAS OBLIGATED TO APPELLANT TO EXAMINE ALL METHODS OF DEFENSE ON APPELLANT'S BEHALF.

APPELLANT CLAIMS THAT THE REPRESENTATION OF COUNSEL FELL BELOW THE STANDARDS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO THE APPELLANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, CONST. AMEND IV.